Kalaupapa Working Group Meeting #3  
Monday, December 13, 2021 6-8pm

Agenda

- Recap of Process & October 25 Meeting
- Updates
  - Transition Team
  - Independent Review of the General Lease
- Establish a Mutual Understanding of Section 106 Parking Lot Issues
  - Parking Lot Docs
  - NPS’ Letter to Chairman re: Commitment to Address Parking Lot Issues
  - Anything Missing?
- Revisit Programmatic Agreement -- help us prep for the meeting with Superintendent, avoid adverse impacts to beneficiaries by giving beneficiaries a seat at the table and more oversight of the process
  - Identify Adverse Impacts to Beneficiaries
  - Identify Potential Collaborative Options
- Identify Areas of Discussion for Broader Community Convenings
  - Share Current Costs that NPS and DOH are shouldering
  - How do we help our beneficiaries achieve more oversight over Kalaupapa in a productive way?
  - How can Kalaupapa be better integrated with the rest of the island?
- Next Steps

Attendees

- Joseph Lapilio
- Mona Kapaku
- Elroy Mollena
- Tess Mollena
- Lori Ritte Buchanan
- Walter Ritte
- Halealoha Ayau
- Andrew Choy
- Cedric Duarte
- Shelly Carreira
- Peter “Kahana” Albinio
- Nancy McPherson
- Brent Kakesako & Merri Keliikuli, Facilitators

Notes

- CD: Have Lori’s email
  - AC, are we rearranging agenda
  - Playing catch up to emails
  - Are we rearranging parts of the agenda to answer some of Lori’s questions
- BK: I don’t think so
  - Think everything we’re covering fits
AC sharing plans so Lori knows what she wants is accounted for
  ○ If you can answer some of her big questions
- CD: Did we distribute fact sheets
- AC: Chair wants us to answer questions more fully, so did not
- NM: I summarized the transition team stuff, cursorily
  ○ Will verbally deliver that information and keep working on fuller summary
- CD: Does anyone have copy of Shelly’s fact sheet
  ○ Can you take picture and send to me
- AC: Yeah
- CD: Don’t think we can dodge that
  ○ Going back to her original batch of questions
- AC: Yeah
  ○ Just texted you
- CD: I got it
  ○ Chair’s feedback is we didn’t answer questions fully enough
- AC: Some of them, yes
- CD: That’s true
  ○ Some are more statements than questions
  ○ We don’t have answers
- NM: Lori said she thought they were pretty straightforward
- CD: Lease rent is straightforward
- NM: How often reopened
  ○ Every 5 years
- CD: Those parts we have
- NM: Anything qualitative, we can’t go there right now
  ○ Evaluation of NPS performance over last 40 years or however long it’s been
- AC: CD I made you the host
  ○ Think BK has to share
- CD: Let’s make BK host too
  ○ Make MK host too
  ○ Anyone else need to share
- BK: HACBED
- CD: Who’s going to record
- AC: I’ll record
- CD: Should we go ahead and admit Joe and Lori
- AC: Yeah
- CD: Alright
- AC: NM you said HA not joining us tonight
- NM: Didn’t sound like it
  ○ Said he’d be out by 5
- AC: Ok, everyone else was going to
- NM: Didn’t hear back from Elroy
  ○ Not sure
- AC: Ok
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- NM: Should I text Mona
  - AC: Sure
    - Joe and Lori, will wait a couple more minutes to see if others will hop on
- LB: Just spoke to Walter, he will be joining
- BK: How you folks doing
- JL: Doing good, Brent
- AC: Is that virtual background or your office
- JL: My office
- AC: Organized
- JL: It’s an illusion
- NM: I like the plants
  - They make oxygen
- JL: These don’t need water
- LB: Nancy, your virtual background is nice
- NM: First and last time I hiked the trail down
  - I’ve gone back up a couple times
- LB: The plants are all big already
  - Doing well now
  - Had to fence ‘em
- NM: Yeah
- LB: Yay, Walter is on
  - Just missing Mollena’s number
  - I don’t have his number
- NM: I’ll call
- LB: Nobody like work
  - It’s December, I’m burnt out
- JL: I’m burnt out too
  - Can’t wait for days off
- BK: Extra-long year with Covid
- LB: Gotta work while everyone else take use or lose vacation
  - Nancy, I have a question
    - Not related
- NM: Elroy joining momentarily
- LB: NM your briefing to (HHC)?
  - Last one in December or one after that
- NM: October 2021 was the last one
- LB: Gotta go look for that one
- NM: I can email to you
- LB: Thank you, please
- WR: Reason Zachary is not on these meetings
- AC: No particular reason
  - Do you think useful for him to be in the future
- WR: He’s going to carry a lot of weight as far as talking with the rest of the Commissioners
○ He’s Commissioner for this island
○ The more he knows the better, I think
- AC: Ok
- LB: He wasn’t invited, Walter
  ○ Nobody invited
  ○ We going talk about that tonight
    ■ It’s on the agenda
- NM: Lori, it’s on its way
- LB: Thank you
  ○ I’ll read it after this meeting tonight
  ○ Only familiar with the December
- AC: We’ll wait a couple more minutes for Elroy to come on
- LB: Aloha Cedric, how you doing
- CD: I’m good
- LB: Safe answer
- AC: That’s why he’s Communication Director
- CD: Less is more
- LB: Oh boy
- NM: Think Elroy was just finishing dinner
- LB: I knew they needed reminding
- NM: Don’t know what happened to Mona
- AC: Getting ready to retire
- NM: Is that it
  ○ She’s in a meeting but she’ll Zoom in and listen
- LB: Talented
  ○ I tried to do couple meetings at one time, I can’t handle
- NM: Yeah
  ○ You get much rain there this last go round
- LB: Yeah
- NM: Heard Kala’e got a bunch of rain
- LB: ..
- NM: Kind of dumps it all at once is the problem now
  ○ She doesn’t have the Zoom link
  ○ You got me working really hard Lori
- LB: Some things never change, eh
  ○ Go all the way to DHHL and somehow you still got stuck with me
  ○ Sybil Lopez just had a baby last week
  ○ We don’t have a planner but we muddle thru our meetings
- NM: You have the Maui planners
- LB: We have Bridget, forget name
  ○ Jordan Hart
- NM: The Deputy
- LB: And the Coastal Planner
  ○ I think her name is Bridget
I have to look
I never let her talk
Jordan was doing all the talking
So many contested cases going on
About 3 or 4
Maybe we can start and Mollenas can join us

- AC: Yeah, I was going to say same thing
  - I'll start recording then
  - Host has to record
- CD: That's me, ok
  - Recording in progress

AC: Welcome everybody to 3rd discussion among our group
- Thank you for taking the time and being flexible
- Know you're all busy
- Go thru intros
  - Acting Planning Program Manager at Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (DHHL)
- JL: ED of Ka ‘Ohana o Kalaupapa
- CD: DHHL Communications Office
- NM: Planning Office liaison for Kalaupapa
- LB: Moloka‘i
- WR: From Moloka‘i
- MK: HACBED
- KA: Land Management Division (LMD)
  - First time to meeting
  - Seen meeting notes before
- BK: Also with HACBED
- SC: LMD
- MK: Homestead services
- AC: Thanks everyone for joining us
  - Elroy just signed on
  - Just pau intro everyone
  - Intro yourself
- EM: Work for DHHL on Moloka‘i
  - Run the water systems
- NM: Halealoha told us he couldn’t make it tonight
  - He apologizes
- AC: Advance to next slide
  - Here is an agenda of what we wanted to cover this evening
  - Aunty Lori sent email, you wanted to touch upon transition team update, also touch on General Lease (GL), Programmatic Agreement (PA), and financial situation
    - Hope to touch on all those topics in context of our agenda this evening
If anything you wanted to talk about that’s not our agenda, please let us know and we’ll try to make time for it

- **Agenda**
  - Recap of Process & October 25 Meeting
  - Updates
    - Transition Team
    - General Lease
  - Establish a Mutual Understanding of Section 106 Parking Lot Issues
  - Revisit Programmatic Agreement
    - Goal: Avoid adverse impacts to beneficiaries by giving beneficiaries a seat at the table and more oversight of the process & answer current questions
    - Identify Adverse Impacts to Beneficiaries & Potential Collaboration Options
  - Identify Group Composition & Areas of Discussion for Broader Community Convenings
    - Identify how we will move forward
  - **Next Steps**
    - You’ve all been good to work with
      - **Ground Rules**
        - Be respectful of other participants’ mana'o, please do not interrupt
        - Please be aware of the air you take up in the room
        - Respect the viewpoint of others: non-agreement can be a respectful process
        - Do your best to be an active listener and a thoughtful participant
        - Please remember to mute yourself when not speaking to help minimize background noise
        - Be understanding of those who are juggling multiple responsibilities (childcare, schooling, kupuna care, etc.)
    - Wanted to add this map because realize we didn’t have map of place we’re talking about in previous meetings
      - This gives overview of land ownership at Kalaupapa
      - Can make map available after this meeting and send to your folks if you’re interested
    - Hand over to Brent to give us recap of what we discussed and take us into this evening

- **BK: Recap**
  - 3rd meeting of 3 focused on process
  - Talking a lot of substance and who should be involved is goal we want to arrive at today
  - DHHL team sees March 2022 for broader conversations
  - What you’re sending they’re doing research now and need time to follow up
  - That’s where we’re at process wise
  - In March series of 3 (meetings) diving into substance
Any questions there?

Kahana mentioned couldn’t make it

This is recap to ground folks with what happened last meeting

- It was a while ago
- Talked about PA and adverse impact to beneficiaries
  - Why the DHHL team will walk thru today
    - Get feedback
  - Goal is to understand your concerns
  - I will help facilitate the dialogue there
  - DHHL started to meet with Superintendent so good time to lift these things up

- Relatedly there are concerns about tourism
- Conversations about what it would look like to take park back from NPS
  - Variety of options political and maybe even County related
- Lease and structural barriers of AG having responsibilities to the State and Halealoha lifting up not always looking out for beneficiaries given their kuleana hence openness to getting thoughts from Ka Huli Ao folks
  - Will talk more about that
- Bigger picture of what will happen when last kūpuna passes
  - Planning for that
- Aunty Lori asked about transition team so Nancy will talk a little bit more about that
- General sense that NPS not being a good manager of Kalaupapa
  - Dig into what that looks like
  - Capitalize on opportunity with new Superintendent

That’s a recap

Anyone have any questions on this part?

- LB: Brent, this recap was in this
- BK: If has this date, should be
  - If not, I can send after
- LB: This is 9/8
- BK: Ok, not that one
  - I can send this one after
- LB: Wasn’t sure we got that
  - Would’ve printed it out
  - I like this recap
- BK: Definitely can send that
  - It’s all your guys’ voices
- LB: Awesome
- EM: 1 question
  - Kalawao going back to Maui
    - What is benefit to us
    - Why would we want that to go back to County of Maui and not stay under County of Kalawao
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- It's its own County
- Just wondering the reasons and benefits if we do that
- Can someone explain to me

- LB: I have been involved in doing the legislation for that bill
  - I don’t know if this is the appropriate time to talk about it or I can have Councilwoman Keani directly respond to you
  - In nutshell, was to make Moloka‘i whole again
    - Makanalua and Kalaupapa always treated as separate entity away from Moloka‘i
    - Just jurisdictional
    - Now seems time to bring back under Moloka‘i, to be part of Moloka‘i County
    - Not to say that in the future Moloka‘i can’t be its own County, including Kalaupapa
    - To put all that back
      - Why it’s important to hear what transition team talking about
        - Uniqueness of Kalaupapa administration under DOH is something unique in all of the U.S.
      - Saw this as an opportunity to make Moloka‘i whole again in a nutshell
      - I can have your question posed to Councilwoman

- EM: I would love that, thank you Aunty Lori
  - Only reason why… I always think about it as for us, as Moloka‘i people, want to make sure we have say of what goes on down there
  - More of us to express our views to what going happen in Kalaupapa like we doing now
  - Not have County of Maui dictate what goes down there
  - That’s my worries right now
    - Just like make sure we get say
    - Moloka‘i people have priority over what goes on down there
      - Because this is our island

- LB: Other purpose of that is to have the Special Management Area
  - Right now Kalaupapa should be part of Special Management Area (SMA) that would fall under jurisdiction of Moloka‘i Planning Commission (MoPC) but it doesn’t
  - Purpose of that bill is to put the jurisdiction of MPC to absorb Kalaupapa so we would be overseeing projects under SMA in Kalaupapa

- EM: So that would bring funding from somewhere besides federal kind of thing
  - If I’m hearing correctly

- LB: Nothing should change, but currently Fire and Police are already in a compact with Kalaupapa, NHP
  - If someone got caught with drugs in Kalaupapa. Maui Police Department (MPD) would be called to handle that, not the Federal Government, the rangers

- EM: Or the sheriff
● NM: The NPS rangers do work with DOH to do some enforcement activities down there, particularly when people accessing it without permission
  ○ As far as emergency services go, there is a Mutual Aid Compact with County of Maui
    ■ Police
    ■ Fire
    ■ Emergency services
    ■ Criminal too, would be with Maui County PD
  ○ Until those folks can arrive it’s DOH and NPS
● LB (Chat): Criminal and emergency
● EM: Thank you, Aunty Lori
  ○ If Councilwoman Keani can get back to me and explain a little more about what you said
● LB: Yeah, shoots
● BK: EM, you were in the other meeting too and we didn’t hold space for your last time
  ○ Anything more to add out of this meeting
    ■ Add more comments or
    ■ Share more about
● EM: That was my thought during that time
  ○ Was listening and not sharing my manaʻo until now
  ○ Wanted to make sure what consists in the nutshell
● NM: Lori, we do have questions about the jurisdiction of the County on HHL
  ○ Including over the SMA
  ○ Gray area not resolved yet
  ○ Separate conversation that we have to have in near future
● LB: I welcome that
  ○ Can’t wait
  ○ I love it
  ○ We ʻāina advocates
  ○ ʻĀina no can talk so we talking for ʻāina
  ○ I would love to have this discussion
    ■ We are well versed in…
● NM: Kanaloa too, heard Uncle Walter talking about Kanaloa before
● LB: Recap of 10/25
  ○ Can we talk about this or move straight to first agenda item
● BK: Up to you
  ○ We’re open
  ○ This is just to make sure we heard correctly and that there’s no miscommunication / misunderstanding of what happened last time
● LB: OK, I still not sure about where we going
  ○ Maybe because need bigger discussion
  ○ Everything I read, Department said straight out that they not looking to cancel the end of the contract, which is end of the 2041 lease
  ○ The PA going take up a whole other meeting
Don’t think we’ll get to that tonight

- Another track focused on taking the Park back
- Happy to see you including that as a bullet
- Several of us, where we’re coming from
- Been dealing with Park Service for 25 of 30 year they operated without GMP
  - Thank you for taking good notes and to make sure it’s still there

- BK: Thanks
- LB: I like the Department keep one open mind and I like us keep open mind
- WR: Wanted to comment on that
  - Reason for us taking it back is because Kalaupapa is probably most important land HH has on Moloka‘i
  - Most important not only for homesteading but for economic development and stuff like that
  - For me, it’s really critical we get it back

- LB (Chat): in the state not only Molokai
  - Can already see a handful of scenarios emerging
- BK: Thanks Uncle Walter and Aunty Lori
  - Glad this recap sits well
  - Keep pushing to keep this open
  - For today, help get clear picture of where this is headed
    - What next 3 mtgs might look like in terms of
      - How broad
      - Who else to include
      - What should be talked about
  - You not knowing hopefully will get clearer by end of this meeting
  - Next is to get update on transition
  - After that get update on lease related issues and some of the questions
  - Next would be PA
  - Nancy, would you mind jumping in

- NM: Sure, thank you for questions, Lori
  - I was working on responses this afternoon
  - Didn’t get into slide so have to talk thru
  - These are the agencies that have been attending the meetings
    - Started in April 2016
    - There’s been 22 meetings
      - Usually 3-4 meetings per year
      - More or less quarterly
    - Purpose is for interagency coordination
      - There’s been 5 or 6 of us from DHHL attending
        Handful from DLNR
      - DOH usually sends 4-5 people
      - DOT, a couple
      - Maui County
○ Sometimes Captain of PD
○ Sometimes FD
○ Aunty Stacy Crivello attended a few as Mayor’s representative
  ● About 5 NPS staff
  ● Stanton Enomoto
  ● I think Lisa Oshiro’s also attended from Office of Native Hawaiian Relations
○ To try to answer some questions off the cuff
  ■ Been mainly focused on logistics
  ■ You wanted to talk about issues of jurisdiction and political status of Kalawao County
    ● We only talked about that at 1-2 meetings
  ■ These are the standing items that have to be talked about at every meeting
○ Originally, getting into it was identifying what the necessary topics were
  ■ Different systems
  ■ Different activities
  ■ Waste management
  ■ Barge
  ■ Landfill
  ■ Underground storage tank for gas
○ First year was spent hammering out what are all the things agencies have to think about and work together on
○ After that mostly updates
  ■ Questions people took back to agencies to brief up and get answers to questions
  ■ At next meeting, that would come back to the group
○ Basically how it’s being handled
○ Mainly logistical issues requiring communication and coordination between state agencies and with NPS
○ There have been discussions on determination of jurisdiction and ownership of various legacy assets
○ Staff attending then go back to their respective agencies and brief up and do internal coordination within their agencies
○ There haven’t been any significant conclusions or commitments
○ Group has no decision-making power
○ Main decision is to continue to coordinate as needed
○ If there are any agreements they are memorialized in writing
○ Commitment to provide more transparency and to include stakeholders in the public at the point when it will be logical to do so
  ■ That’s going to bring it into another realm
  ■ Elected officials and that sort of thing
  ■ Haven’t gotten to that point yet
Purpose of meetings is to encourage government agencies to work together and communicate better

Some of these problems are longstanding

Also discussion of how best to communicate some of these issues with stakeholders and broader public

Aware there is a lot of interest in future of Kalaupapa and key stakeholders will need to be included

Started out as informal interagency meetings
  ■ Notes are taken and disseminated within the group
  ■ There aren’t formal minutes
  ■ Meetings haven’t been recorded

Originally convened by DOH because they realized at some point they’d be exiting Kalaupapa and wanted to identify knowledge gaps, especially regarding infrastructure and really apply multi-jurisdictional approach to a pretty complicated situation

There was a couple of paragraphs I included in the submittal to HHC in December 2020
  ■ Not going to read through that now, but if anybody needs a copy of the submittal or that part of the submittal, I’m happy to email it to you

One of biggest concerns right now
  ■ Cesspools

Underground storage tank DOH has

NPS working on above ground fuel farm
  ■ If they can get that project underway, will solve that problem
  ■ Underground storage tank would be decommissioned

Discussion about hunting
  ■ Getting deer down

Big one has been firefighting
  ■ Kitchen burned down at one point
  ■ Realized they need fire truck and trained firefighters
    ● Just regular staff were trying to fight that fire
  ■ Talking a lot to DOT airports to figure out how to get trained firefighting crew in Kalaupapa for the airport

Have talked about NP staffing issues
  ■ Mainly understaffing
  ■ Right now, Joe, it’s an interagency coordination group
    ● Involving the community
    ● Trying to figure out best way to do that
    ● Haven’t been able to figure that out yet because we know the conversation will need to go broader
    ● It will need to expand out of just logistical coordination
    ● Talked about how that’s above our pay grades
    ● It’s up to directors of various departments
      ○ Most likely elected representatives
■ Mayor of Maui County
■ County Council
■ State Legislature

● That is to be decided
  ○ Why we started these meetings
    ■ Wanted to hear directly from beneficiaries
    ■ Have you help us identify key stakeholders who should be involved
    ■ Make sure we’re hearing voices of beneficiaries so they’re not drowned out in bigger conversation
    ■ Especially Moloka’i beneficiaries
  ○ DHHL has met separately with NPS and DOH and we’re going to do that again in January

● BK: Folks have other questions
  ○ Joe dropped in a few
  ○ Nancy, sounds like you dropped in community member’s one
    ■ Sounds like, at some level, a jurisdictional / political question
  ○ Are there other questions from anyone

● WR: I have a question
  ○ Can we start again from day one about what this group is about and what we’re trying to accomplish
  ○ There’s this huge thing in front of us called Kalaupapa
    ■ Many facets to it
    ■ All kinds of agencies
  ○ If we’re going in there and talk about all these complicated years of discussions and going nowhere
    ■ Going in circles
    ■ Playing with politicians
    ■ I don’t think I’m going to have time for that
  ○ Want to figure out what this group can do for the future of Kalaupapa and create couple tracks instead of one broad complicated track where we jump from this to that
    ■ If miss one meeting, get lost
  ○ I know why I’m here
    ■ Know what track I want to be on and be part of
    ■ Probably hardest track
      ● Take Kalaupapa back for Hawaiians on Moloka’i
  ○ Don’t want to spend my time going through agreements we had with NPS and all this background
  ○ I want to know if this group can form couple clear tracks and we can figure out which track we’ll go on
  ○ I’m little confused

● AC: I’ll take a stab at your question
When we first met and convened the individuals on this call in September, DHHL’s proposal was to focus discussions and energy on Cooperative Agreements (CA) related to economic opportunities and access.

During that meeting, Aunty Lori said not good idea

- Stop
- Wrong vehicle

Focus of this group is build process and identify tracks that we can take out to a larger group

- Help us form the process to go on these tracks
- What’s the conversation going to be with a larger group of people

That’s the impetus for the group right now is to help the Department identify what is a good productive process to better manage, better incorporate Kalaupapa into the larger island and our beneficiary community.

WR: What do you mean by develop a process, what does that mean

AC: Along the lines you’re talking about, what are the tracks

- Originally proposed in September a track working with NPS on CA and getting beneficiary input into those CA related to economic development and access to Kalaupapa for resources
- Based on feedback from that first meeting, seemed like individuals didn’t want to go down that track so if not that track, what other tracks would be of interest to our beneficiaries to have more discussion on

WR: Track I’m talking about is contrary to CA

- Jumped off one track and went into another track
- If we’re going to spend lots of time on CA going have less time to spend on more complicated track of taking back Kalaupapa
- Might be 3rd or 4th track or all come to agreement for one track

AC: I think that’s what the work is right now

- Discussion for future tracks
- Information we’re going over right now, those were information requests from group members from last time and we wanted to come back to you with information gathered from October ‘til now
- We’re not going to answer all the questions you had but will try to provide as much information as we can right now
- That’s the reason for some of the agenda items is those were information requests from group members from the last meeting

WR: Thank you, clearer for me now

AC: Been a while since we talked

- Always good to have refresher
- Purpose of this conversation of this group is to identify possible tracks for future discussion with larger group

WR: Mahalo

LB: Can I respond to Walter Ritte

- WR, I been grumbling for years and fighting NPS because dropped EIS, went to EA
Whole slew of things they did that was illegal and wrong
- Resulted in beneficiaries not being at table
- Went straight to Section 106 and PA
  - I testified to Commissioners when I could have 3 minutes to tell them stuff down Kalaupapa is bad
  - Thank God the Chair and Commissioners heard our plea
  - We here because we asked for these meetings, at least I asked for these meetings
  - Purpose was to convey to staff
    - Thank you Andrew, Cedric, Nancy, Mona, Shelly
    - Everybody is here at almost 7 at night when we should be feeding our family and winding down from our full day because I grumble, grumble, grumble because I love Kalaupapa so much
    - I knew from beginning
      - Had personal conversations with the Chair
      - I knew the Department’s stance was to work with NPS because DHHL doesn’t have resources that the Federal government to care for Kalaupapa and it having such historical significance
    - I kept saying over the last 25-30 years, I’ve watched them act poorly to manage Kalaupapa
      - Reason I kept hounding the Department
      - The Department, in the end they own the land
      - Should be up to beneficiaries
    - Their position is still to work with NPS
      - My personal position is ‘nuff already
      - You had 41-42 years to come up with a plan and you nevah
      - On top of that, you made one situation worse by cutting people out of consultation, the process we have
        - HRS CH. 343
        - NEPA
        - All of that
      - We got kicked out of PA abruptly so we here again
      - I begged the Department to help us
      - I begged DHHL because in the end, again, they the landowners
    - If just up to you and me, Walter, would be one done deal but the Department represents all of us beneficiaries so staff came back and said, you no like our track, what is your scenario
    - So you and me, Walter, what we going do
      - Where’s our plan
      - Where’s the beneficiaries’ plan to manage Kalaupapa
    - So guess what, like Elroy asked in the beginning, who’s bright idea was to put Maui County
      - Was my idea
      - Why
○ I trying to take baby steps to get Kalaupapa back
  ● The reason I did that was I saw our resources weren’t being managed because no more oversight
  ■ If Planning Commission had oversight over Kalaupapa, things would be different
    ● The DOT wouldn’t be erecting radio towers that going hide the lighthouse
    ● They wouldn’t be doing that kine stuff because we would be managing the marine, nearshore marine stuff so NPS, long story, that’s why we here tonight
  ○ I even wrote it down
    ■ The scenarios, what going be the scenarios
      ● #1: Lease is ‘til 2041 because got renewed
          ○ We going try cockroach what can from NPS
              ■ Give us jobs
              ■ Let us open our little concessions
              ■ All that kine stuff
              ■ Work along with them and get our piece of pie or
          ○ Come up, as beneficiaries, with one plan for us manage
              ■ Where we going get the money from
                  ● Right now $5M just for staff
                  ● All the deferred maintenance
                  ● All these kine questions

○ I’m happy to tackle that, I really am
  ■ But that’s where we are
  ■ That’s why we’re having these meetings
  ○ That’s why I said no be distracted by all the parking lot stuff
  ○ We focus on the lease and how we going work with our beneficiaries
  ○ Just so DHHL knows, I’ve already presented twice to the Homestead Associations on Moloka’i about Kalaupapa and the reasons why we advocating for Kalaupapa and to be a part of Kalaupapa once again
  ○ We already started discussions
    ■ Had at least 5 Homestead Associations that we had Zoom meetings with
    ■ So nobody surprised
  ○ Also had Zoom meetings about why we asking Maui County to get jurisdiction
    ■ At least County wise
  ○ So, we moving that way
  ○ I appreciate staff coming out and being frank about what you guys going do
  ○ That’s where we are, Walter
    ■ At least that’s how I’m proceeding
    ■ Happy to include beneficiaries that way
    ■ With the intent that, yeah, we going take ‘em back
    ■ Maybe homesteading is not what needs to happen in Kalaupapa
    ■ Maybe just needs to be left alone
• NM: Lori, can I make one correction
  ○ The lease has not been renewed
  ○ That’s the original term
• LB: It is?
  ○ Until 2041
• NM: Yep, it was a 50 year lease
  ○ We didn’t start the lease… when the Park first formed we didn’t have a lease
  ○ That happened later, years later
• LB: Ok, so Walter and everybody else
  ○ In the same way Pohakuloa Training Area has violated its fiduciary duty to the
    lease with DLNR, I’m willing to do litigation with NPS to bring up all the places
    they failed also because they nevah have a management plan
    ■ They violated the GL
    ■ The current GL has been violated and I can prove it
  ○ In that sense, the Department can go back to NPS and say you violated the
    lease
  ○ Besides us having to pay them back for improvements and that’s the reason I
    don’t want them to throw down $26M for electrical upgrades when we should be
    doing renewable energy and microgrids in Kalaupapa
  ○ That’s what I’ve been thinking about
    ■ On how we can terminate the lease sooner than 2041 if we have one plan
    ■ Our own transition plan if you will
  ○ Thank you
• WR: Just so that I’m not getting more confused than when we started this discussion
  ○ We actually have 2 tracks
  ○ 1 original track that is part of DHHL’s natural course of actions
    ■ Work along with Park and make all the concessions and smooth the road
    ■ That’s the typical track
  ○ Then we have a meeting and introduce this track about us taking Kalaupapa
    back
    ■ Using the lease and violation of the lease
    ■ Making sure the beneficiaries are coming along with us
    ■ Trying to convince DHHL to drop their original track of trying to work
      along with the Park and support us in taking back the Park
  ○ Question for myself is am I going to put in a lot of time talking about working
    along with the Park and to correct all the discrepancies or am I going to use my
    time to figure out what is wrong with lease and talking to beneficiaries, getting
    them riled up about taking back the Park
    ■ Trying to convince Mr. Helm that he should support us to take back the
      Park so he can get support from the rest of the Commissioners
  ○ I’m willing to put my time in taking back the Park, but I’m not willing to put my
    time in trying to figure out how I’m going to work with this Park
  ○ Maybe we should divide this group and figure out who’s going to do work along
    with the Park and who’s going to take back the Park
• LB (chat): And that is what I shared at the first meeting on Sept. 8, 2021
• CD: I have a quick question on the take back the Park path, that’s take back the Park, both DHHL and DLNR or just DHHL side
• WR: Right now, I have no idea what lands really belong to DLNR and how much they really care
  ○ All I know is Hawaiian Homes (HH), that’s all I understand
  ○ I have no answer to your question or your comment
• CD: Just was making sure because we can only…
• WR: As far as I’m concerned HH owns the whole peninsula
  ○ People talk about Kalaupapa, they not talking about ahupua’a’s, they talking about Kalaupapa peninsula
  ○ … to HH
• CD: That’s a grander conversation
  ○ It’s about DHHL taking over in a larger fashion
• WR: Trying to put balls in HH
  ○ To get the land that belong to us
  ○ Stop playing politics with governor
  ○ We pay the dues up in Mauna Kea
    ■ When I say we, I mean the DHHL
  ○ Hopefully we get some pay back when Hawaiians want something
• CD: Uncle Walter, that nuance was really important for this group to understand the direction you like go
• WR: What do you mean by that, nuance?
• CD: This group was thinking we talking about what’s on the screen right now which is the DHHL land, which is just the settlement
  ○ When you talk about taking Kalaupapa back, your view is the peninsula as a whole
• WR: Yeah
• CD: I don’t think that was clear to the group is what I’m saying
  ○ Now it’s clear, at least to me
    ■ I don’t know about you guys
• WR: I was just trying to throw what I see as being fact out there
  ○ It might not be fact, but in my mind, that peninsula belongs to HH
• CD: Got it
• WR: Look at the numbers
  ○ Missing lands they always talk about
    ■ Coincides with the numbers for the rest of the peninsula
  ○ That’s for our AG to figure out
  ○ I don’t know how far I’m off in trying to figure out what we’re doing
• BK: Thanks Uncle Walter for sharing exactly where you’re at
  ○ Aunty Lori, your role in how this meeting came to be
  ○ Also with the Department, what is the level on your end to have these other conversations that are outside of the lease or breaking the lease
  ○ Any thoughts or responses based on what shared so far
WR: Cedric, I didn’t want to go after whole peninsula now
CD: Ok
WR: One step at a time
CD: Yeah and that kinda goes back to something I had mentioned a meeting or two ago where one of the good things that can come out of these conversations is the development of
  ■ Short term plan and
  ■ Long term plan
  ○ What are the steps we can take in the next year
    ■ 2 years
    ■ 5 years
  ○ What are the steps we can take in the next 5, 10, 20 years
  ○ There’s an opportunity to shape what Kalaupapa is gonna look like into the future
    ■ It’s been one way for 150 years
    ■ There’s an opportunity here to shape what the next chapter’s going to look like
  ○ Think that next chapter is a shorter-term strategy
    ■ Tackling some of the things we can tackle right now with current DHHL landholdings then a larger conversation about the whole peninsula
BK: Anyone else from the Department want to chime in
  ○ We can also shift to some of the lease issues
  ○ Aunty Lori, you brought up that might help the conversation
  ○ Wanna make sure…
NM: This was a quick and dirty mapping effort
  ○ If ownership errors, we were using the State’s GIS data
  ○ If something wrong with that, they should hear about it
BK: Thanks Nancy
NM: Meyers not Myers
  ○ That might be my bad
  ○ I probably told them the wrong way to spell it but, no, I wouldn’t have done that
    ■ I know it’s Meyers
  ○ Anyway, ok we’ll fix that
LB: The Decoite’s no longer have those parcels
  ○ I think those are also Meyers
  ○ Think those were leased by Decoite’s
NM: I was surprised when I saw that
  ○ Thought it was all Meyers land
LB: Del Monte is another name for Moloka’i Ranch
NM: Oh yeah, ok
  ○ I was wondering about that too
LB: Significant portions of that are now are Kalaupapa Ranch
NM: Oh nah, really
LB: Yeah
All the way up to Naʻiwa historical sites which is one big issue too right now with paving the water line
- Another story
- CD: Aunty Lori, I think we might have resolved that
- LB: Ok, good
- CD: Malia and their attorney came in
  - Chairman said he would take care of that
  - They met with him so I think we’re going to resolve that issue
- LB: Hooray
- NM: Del Monte left with the pineapples, didn’t they
- LB: Yeah, but I don’t know if it’s been conveyed
- NM: Oh, ok
- LB: Some portions are still under Del Monte but they’re Molokaʻi Ranch, especially in Kīpū
  - Kīpū is now all Kualapuʻu Ranch
- NM: Oh, ok, Kualapuʻu
- LB: Sorry not to divert
  - I agree with what Walter said
  - I know we have whole State of Hawaiʻi and beneficiaries to chime in on that but I’m willing to make the case
  - Kalaupapa would be third of my bucket list
    - Kalaupapa is one of my priorities on my bucket list which I’m willing to give up everything else to do even though we still working
  - Meyer Miala yeah
  - Ok, I’m like Walter, yeah, Andrew
    - I no like waste my time on CAs but I know we need one short term like Cedric said and I know we need one long term
  - Short term we gotta live with NPS until we can pull some moves
- AC: Walter brought up something I wanted to talk about further
  - He suggested splitting up
    - One group talk about the longer term
    - One group talk about the shorter term
    - Do you think we can explore that more as group tonight
      - Potential way the Department conducts these conversations with future groups, if that’s a strategy we can pursue
        - This group talk about A
        - This group talk about B
    - Just wanted to explore Walter’s idea a little more
    - Did what I just asked make sense
- LB: Walter, I have something about that
  - Walter is gonna know what I’m talking about
  - This community on Molokaʻi has been torn apart by for profit and ‘āina responsibilities, those who live on Molokaʻi and
    - Want to make a profit
- Want to make a living
- Want commercial development
- And those that don't
  - My fear is once we start going down that road, it's gonna pit community persons against each other
    - We’ve been there, done that all of our lives
    - To be honest, we’re going to do that tomorrow night via Zoom with the cruise ship coming to a head again
      - Same people
        - Business people
      - People want to make little bit stuff off of tourism
  - Then you have the people who feel tourism is not a staple for Moloka‘i
  - That’s my fear, that it might divide as we saw it divided when Moloka‘i Ranch brought the Lā‘au Point proposal to the community in exchange for land
  - What you think Walter
    - WR: You talking about same ol', same ol'
      - We been thru that how many times
      - If you don't fight for something, you not going to get it
      - Moloka‘i, we’ve had many, many battles
      - Key on this one is we have limited amount of people on this track we’re on
      - I’m worried we can’t divide into too many tracks
      - What I like about the take back track, HA was really keen on looking at lease
      - I’m really keen about letting homesteaders know Kalaupapa is where we’ll have economic development schemes even though the Federal Government feel it’s something they’re going to share with the whole U.S.
      - Judging from the response I’ve been getting, we can organize our community to support this whole idea of taking back Kalaupapa
        - It has to be a concerted effort where everybody knows what each other is doing
        - Staff of DHHL and us guys working in the field, trying to make sure we can organize support from our beneficiaries
  - I think with amount of information we have, we can make a really, really good case and, in the end, it’s not going to be a loss in the end even if we work with the Park
    - Going to be a whole different way of working with the Park
  - Should put our marbles in this basket of going after something that is really hard to get
    - In trying to get it, we’re gonna win in the long run
    - People will look at Park in a whole different light
    - Not through the eyes of benefiting USA and everybody who wants to come from there
    - Benefitting DHHL who been shitted on by this state government for long enough
Even with constitutional mandates that says you shall, they still not doing it
- Looking at this as a good way to get the homesteaders to fight for something that’s gonna benefit them

AC: Going back to what Cedric mentioned
- Short term, long term
  - Is that a conversation the group think is a good idea?
  - Said before track A and track B is going in different directions
- With Cedric’s suggestion of having short and long term as a take back
  - I don’t know if I asked an intelligent question or my question doesn’t make sense

NM: It does, Andrew
EM: It does, Andrew
- For me, as far as what Lori was saying
- I understand, it makes it clearer
- I know her passion with Kalaupapa
- Her fight to put Kalaupapa under County of Maui
  - I understand that
- Short- and long-term goal, Lori and Walter don't want to waste their time
- If work with NPS, rather concentrate on how to take Moloka'i back for people
  - More long term
  - No look like this thing going happen in 5 years
  - Lori talking about the evidence that she can prove it
    - How long before take to the court or a hearing and going get a verdict on it
  - For me, I try to think both sides, short and long term
    - I would work whichever way but I would start with short for me
    - That’s just me, speaking for myself
  - With Walter, me and him been in the battle with Lā'au Point
    - A lot of Moloka’i people been in that battle too
    - We stayed with that battle from day one until the end when had to go before the community and speak and told them my piece
    - Talked to CEO of Moloka’i Ranch and told them not going happen until address the needs of the people
    - Sure enough, it didn’t happen
    - I know what it is to stand before the people and fight for what you believe in
  - Right now, looking at what can we get the short and long term together
  - How to put the two together for benefit of all
  - Win-win no matter what

WR: I like that idea
- You going have, no matter what, the staff of DHHL making sure they take care of short term working relationship with Park
- That’s their mandate
Fired if not doing that
- Us guys we gotta take another track and we gotta expect help from them also to help on our track
- Only guys doing 2 tracks is staff and whoever the staff hired
- That’s what I see
  - They gotta do that
- We going need help because if a lot of this stuff will end up in court, it probably will happen, we’re gonna need the help and the backing of DHHL
- I think DHHL can support both tracks and we can work together on this whole thing
• CD: I think we can support both tracks
  - At the end of the day, it’s all one track
  - We’re on our way to one idea
  - The conversation should begin with, holistically, what do we envision Kalaupapa to look like in the long term
  - What are the
    - Priorities
    - Things we find important
    - Things we see
    - Very possible none of us going be around to witness it, so what can we put into place of what we want the peninsula to be like
  - Start larger 30,000’ conversation and work backwards to what can we do in short term to create small victories on the way to that grander vision
• WR: Sounds good
• AC: Department is the one on two tracks
  - Working short- and long-term stuff
  - How should Department consult with beneficiaries on short term stuff
    - Do we need to
    - How should Department engage beneficiaries on short term stuff
• WR: Beneficiaries are organized
  - Have local and statewide organizations
  - Have office in DC that represents beneficiaries
  - Will be our job to get that organized and clear about what we’re trying to get
  - Will take a lot of work
  - Lori and I down for that
  - Bet Halealoha hot on the lease
• EM: Oh yeah, he’s down for it
• WR: It’s a matter of us organizing ourselves on who gonna do what
  - What I’m putting on table is that beneficiaries who Lori and I represent, it’s going to be our kuleana
• JL: From Ka ‘Ohana o Kalaupapa perspective
  - Believe we’ll be involved in both tracks
  - Appreciate this conversation
  - From my vantage, I’m really clear what island Makanalua belongs to
Not an issue at all
Any way we can support the return of that peninsula to the jurisdiction of the peninsula to the Moloka‘i people, please we’d be happy to do so
  • Role here, I’m clear on what my role is and what it is not
  • When it comes to the jurisdictional issues of Kalaupapa, that’s a conversation for Moloka‘i people to have
  • In terms of NPS
    • My role is to make sure Ka ‘Ohana o Kalaupapa can share the story of the people who were sent there
    • That the story is accurate
    • There is way for descendants to connect with their community and with their ancestors
  • That is something that belongs in both tracks
  • I really like what Walter said
    • In a lot of ways it’s a big relief for us
    • Working with NPS is most unproductive piece of work we do
      • Goes nowhere
    • Big load off our backs to be able to say we can just move on
    • Still they have jurisdiction over the existing jurisdiction in Kalaupapa so we need to be involved
  • In terms of long term and what the community comes up with on what will happen with Kalaupapa
    • I’d like to make sure Ka ‘Ohana o Kalaupapa has ways to ensure stories are shared and our ancestors are remembered
    • There’s a way to weave into whatever plans come up in the community
  • Thank you
• WR: One more thing
  • Been watching some of the homestead meetings on Zoom
    • I don’t understand all the issues
      • Gets pretty hairy
      • A lot of attacking the commissioners
      • Attacking head guy especially after Mauna Kea
  • In doing something like this, good to join HH to get water allocation for Moloka‘i
    • Showed different attitude of homestead working with DHHL
    • Think that was really positive
    • We’re on a positive note in trying to get what Hawaiians should be getting, whether land or water
  • If we go after Kalaupapa and start using some of the things Lori Buchanan has in her holster
    • People don’t even know what’s going on with Federal Government on our land
    • Think it’s going be positive thing in long run if we all do what we saying should be done
• BK: For short term, sounds like folks settling on beneficiary led
Long term, determining what management might look like when back in beneficiaries or Molokaʻi’s hands  
  ■ Where do you need help from Department

In short term, would it be helpful to meet directly with folks from NPS to have conversations especially on short term issues  
  ■ Maybe not necessary on long term if they’re not going to be around in long term vision you have

What is the help you might need from Department  
  ■ Can see what's possible and what's not

WR: When we were doing the water battle, any kind of battle, would be really helpful if Department makes list of things that back us up in what we’re trying to accomplish  
  ○ Is it part of long range plan  
    ■ Part of statewide plan  
    ■ Part of Molokaʻi plan  
    ■ Things that give us building blocks if going to take the track try to take back Kalaupapa

What can they provide to bolster our position  
  ■ That would be helpful

BK: Thanks Uncle Walter, that’s helpful  
  ○ Anyone from the Department have questions or follow up

NM: I had a thought and can talk about this offline too  
  ○ There could be short, medium, and long
  ○ Might be overlap zone between short and long
  ○ There’s going to be the issue, sooner than many would like, of no longer having patients living in Kalaupapa and DOH wanting to transition out
  ○ There’s also the issue of the Kalawao jurisdiction  
    ■ Bringing Kalaupapa into Maui County  
    ■ Another proposal to put all of peninsula into DHHL about 10 years ago
  ○ Long term with DLNR lands going to DHHL and creating Molokaʻi County ultimately
  ○ That’s kind of what I’m seeing
  ○ Maybe mixing zone between short- and long-term tracks  
    ■ I called it medium term
  ○ I’ve also talked to DOH about truth and reconciliation process  
    ■ Going back to Kingdom days  
      ● The Kingdom’s Board of Health (BOH) and the Territory’s BOH, from what I’ve read was really rough during Republic of Hawaiʻi, right after the overthrow  
      ● A lot of bad stuff that happened  
        ■ Been suggesting to the DOH for a while now that they work with DHHL to initiate a truth and reconciliation process to do some healing around that history
  ○ Don’t know if beneficiaries would be interested in that kind of a process, perhaps

WR: What is the relationship between DHHL and leaders now in HH arena
○ What’s the lady’s name that put an office in Washington

● NM: Robin Danner

● WR: She one kuku in somebody’s feet
  ○ Who is she
  ○ Is she someone the Department is working with

● NM: I’ll let CD answer that

● CD: I’ve only been at HH for 3 years
  ○ What I’ve seen in the last couple years, the Department has been doing a lot of consultation with beneficiary leaders
  ○ Haven’t seen the door closed on anybody
  ○ Any conversation or anyone that wants to get into the canoe and help paddle forward, Department been welcoming to anyone who wants to help
  ○ Universal agreement on independent counsel
    ■ Everybody agrees the interests of the Department are different front the interest of the state so we should have our own legal counsel
  ○ Other areas where we disagree
  ○ Something I try to share with beneficiaries, is at end of day, commission is charting the path
    ■ Rest of us paddling along, can make suggestions and guide but at the end of day, those 9 make a vote and we going left or we going right and it’s up to us to arm them
      ● Arm Commissioner Helm with ammunition and tools so he can convince the rest of the Commissioners to support the beneficiaries with the direction they wanna go
  ○ My answer to your question is the Department has been welcoming everybody
    ■ Not closing door on anyone who wants to help

● WR: Ok, thank you

● CD: Yeah, we need everybody’s help
  ○ Not Hawaiians against Hawaiians, it’s us against other governmental agencies that have been working against us for a century

● WR: On a side issue, State constitution says the government is supposed to be paying for operations of HH
  ○ Pretty specific
  ○ Judges and politicians, so far, are not playing ball with that interpretation of the constitution
  ○ Is HH gonna make any more pushes to try to get politicians to give us what we’re supposed to be getting
  ○ Or something they going allow us to keep getting crumbs

● CD: The Commission has been going back to court on the Nelson decisions and the state’s appeal on the original Castagnetti decision
  ○ Been fighting that fight
  ○ Since 2016, we’ve been getting the General Funds at least to pay for the administrative operations of the Department
When there’s 9 cabinet members at the governor’s table, DHHL Director’s staff is getting paid for by State and his admin and operation costs are getting paid for by the state

Next hurdle for HH is development
- Capital improvement to do the construction to make the necessary improvements
- Older homesteads need repair
- Guys who got lots in 80’s who never got infrastructure, they need infrastructure
- How do we address the 28,000 future NH that still need to get onto the land

To answer your question, Department going to keep fighting
- As community, we have to fight to get bigger number
- Sufficient sums budget the Commission put forward was like $300M or something like that
  - That’s a real number
  - If only $50M, get $250M in projects ready to go, that have to wait

WR: Asking that question because I been around little longer than you
- Hard to get the Department and homesteaders on the same page
  - Statewide
  - Been seeing Department get little more strong in their positions
  - Guess there was newspaper article where they interviewed Chairperson and he listed all the wrongs that need to be corrected
  - This track we’re on now might be good way to get community to see HH as something they gotta protect
  - If HH is gonna fight for them, then I think they gonna fight for HH
  - Same issue as with the water
  - Benefit to the community
  - We gonna help HH
  - Remember the meetings, kept pushing at the meetings for OHA to get involved and support the water battle
    - OHA was sitting on the side and not getting that involved
    - When community started talking about it, there’s a hearing, you guys should be there, that really helped OHA legal time or whoever they brought forward
  - Trying to figure out what is the positive of taking back the Park
    - Not talking facts here, just opinions
    - Would be good for everybody

CD: It would be
- Would be a symbol of unification
- Any opportunity where community can come together, I think those should be taken advantage of
- Decision makers and policy makers don’t listen to state employees, they listen to the public, the voters
○ So we all need each other to continue to advance positive changes for the Native Hawaiian community

● WR: What organization is part of this group
  ○ Who did you hire
● NM: HACBED
  ○ Have you met Bob Agres, he started it
● WR: Is he still alive
  ○ Have to figure out how to use them too as a community so they don’t only work for the Department
  ○ If going to do tracks, need help as community to organize
● AC: I have another dumb question
  ○ NM and I work in Planning Office
  ○ Usually our output is a plan of some sort
  ○ Are you envisioning, we’re gonna have conversations, different tracks
  ○ Want to confirm what you see as products

● NM: Deliverables
● AC: So not spinning our wheels
● WR: Would be good if at next meeting come up with plan to take back Park
  ○ That way we come up with some kind of plan
  ○ Right now all we did was throw on table but don’t know what it means to take back the Park
  ○ What has to be done and all that stuff
● AC: So those conversations will feed into a plan
● WR: Yeah
  ○ We have to have a plan
  ○ If we’re going to ask for money, have to have a plan
● LB: How about this discussion leads to scenarios or tracks identifying…
  ○ Already identified 2 possible tracks
  ○ Identified need short and long and maybe short, medium, and long terms
  ○ We got ‘em down on how to write ‘em up but up to the collective mindset of the beneficiaries what they like support
    ■ Why we have Homestead Association
    ■ Department then tries to support the beneficiaries’ needs
    ■ So, in that way, we have to start to organize that concurrently
    ■ I said I’d volunteer to get a group together
  ○ Walter calling, think he got dropped
    ■ His computer died
● AC: Maybe you can put him on speakerphone
● LB: Short term plan, we going put him on speakerphone
  ○ Telling Andrew we identified at least 2 scenarios
    ■ Status quo
      ● Working with Park because gotta function tomorrow
      ● Mid and long term 30,000 ft. view
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- Work backwards because vision that’s what we working for is the vision
  - Said I’d initiate a beneficiary group or try to organize a group to start working on outreach for Kalaupapa
  - I can envision in 2022 us having DHHL leading at least 4 meetings
    - Once a quarter to discuss
  - In the meantime, we working behind the scenes
    - Walter like getting people, paid staff because he kūpuna and I on the verge of kūpuna, to help us organize
      - Something like that
      - That’s your job
  - AC: Staff help organize quarterly meetings
  - WR: Political outreach, help organizing
  - AC: He needs help with the organizing
    - Is that what he asked
  - WR: With HACBED
    - With funding
    - Staffing
  - LB: He like Brent and HACBED help
    - While they budgeted for couple more meetings, maybe we ahead of the curve here
    - He’s asking about additional people now because he like bring in people for help you
  - WR: I suggested names but so far nobody said yes
  - NM: How big do you think the group should get
    - What’s manageable and unmanageable
  - AC: To add to Nancy’s question, when Lori said Department should hold quarterly meetings
    - How should Department convene
      - Typical beneficiary meeting we send out to everyone on island
        - Applicants
        - Lessees
      - When we work with Kalaupapa, we understand it’s a unique and special place
        - Want to make sure we do in the right way
        - Respectful
    - Want to get your mana’o on how wide does the Department cast the net
  - LR: I don’t want to get wide at all, Andrew
    - Not now, not yet because we just organizing
    - Should stick to list the Commissioners approved for criteria
      - Ka ‘Ohana o Kalaupapa
      - Lineal descendants
      - Beneficiaries to Kalaupapa for now
  - Walter, it’s 7:50pm
● WR: Keani is a beneficiary and meets the criteria
● LR: Don’t know if Tricia meets criteria
  ○ I like the criteria because it’s limiting
  ○ Maybe need other organization, Walter
    ■ This group is primarily staff
● AC: Currently, yeah
● LB: Joe is good for Ka ‘Ohana o Kalaupapa
  ○ Would like to table that discussion ‘til the next meeting
  ○ How you feel
  ○ I’m amenable
  ○ Zach should be attending too
● AC: Put Commissioner Helm on the list
● NM: My experience is the ‘Ohana system is still very strong on Moloka‘i
  ○ Do you think it would be worthwhile to ask ‘ohana that are homesteaders to
    nominate a representative who meets the criteria and can represent their ‘ohana
    in meetings like this?
  ○ Do you think that would work?
● WR: I thought we already had somebody from the ‘Ohana
● LB: She talking about regular ‘ohana
  ○ Like the Dudoit family
● NM: The Helms
● LB: The Duvauchelle’s
● NM: The Buchanan’s
● LB: I think we should…
  ○ I don’t know
  ○ Elroy
● EM: Yes
● LB: What you think Elroy
● EM: That’s one good one
  ○ That’s the reason I got involved
  ○ I not here on behalf of the Department
  ○ I’m here because of my Aunty Lucy
  ○ I look at the interests of her and uncle and us guys and our family being able to
    have ground roots as far as where the family came from
  ○ I always believe that, that meeting we had about Kalaupapa, there’s a list of
    people that Kaleo had that night, that people wanted to be involved
    ■ That was the ‘ohana, the families down there
    ■ I know Aunty Esther was one of them
    ■ Fern Hamai is one other one with family down there
  ○ Like Lori was saying, to open up you gotta have the right people (who) would
    (be) devoted and have open mind to things
● AC: One more specific question
  ○ I received an email from Sybil (Lopez), Sovereign Council of Hawaiian
    Homestead Associations (SCHHA) president
She was concerned about SCHHA participation in this process
  ○ If Department receives specific requests from specific beneficiary groups to join
    the conversation, is it ok to include them
● LB: I have a suggestion in the interest of time
  ○ If myself, Walter, Halealoha, and Elroy, as beneficiaries can meet on our own to
    discuss question and come back to the Department
    ■ I believe SCHHA would support me even though I’m not member
      because we have so much history
    ■ Think they’d be satisfied with that even though I am not a member of the
      SCHHA
    ■ I’d like to have that conversation with Halealoha, Elroy, and Walter then
      get back to you guys
  ○ For now, if we can just have one small group for the next meeting
  ○ I see ‘Aha Kiole
    ■ You get two members of ‘Aha Kiole on this call tonight
    ■ We in everything
    ■ We actually meeting with the cruise ship captain tomorrow thanks to Kiani
      having a town (hall), open zoom meeting
  ○ How you feel about that Elroy
● EM: I’m for it
● LB: This might morph into the bigger one when I said beneficiary led
  ○ I think we can look at list, Elroy, and see how we can do outreach and can
    convey that to the staff
● EM: Perfect
● LB: In January
● AC: Sounds like you want one more small meeting like this to discuss these questions
● LB: Yes
● EM: Yes, Andrew
● LB: We all know politics is important so we might have to if Walter and Halealoha feel
  compelled to put political people at the forefront then that’s what we’ll do first
● LB: Walter likes the original idea organizing separate track
  ○ They wouldn’t be part of this group
    ■ It’s separate group
  ○ Walter is saying it’s 2 separate groups
  ○ He wants this group right now
  ○ For support, he wants Keani and Commissioner Helm on this group
  ○ Bigger group, me, you, Elroy, Hale, we all decide how we do outreach with a
    bigger group
  ○ We going form one beneficiary group
  ○ Report back to Kalaupapa Beneficiary WG
    ■ This group
  ○ So we have 2 groups
  ○ Ok, Andrew
● AC: OK
Brent, you caught that yeah
This group we going add Keani and Commissioner Helm
You folks going organize a bigger group and that group is going to figure out how to do beneficiary outreach
- LB: We'll bring it back to KBWG for approval and input on how we’re going to organize outreach for the beneficiary group
- AC: Got it
  - Is there possible dates we can look at in January to come back?
  - Or we gotta do thru email
- CD: No, try do now
- NM: I’m out ‘til 15th
  - I must be out of my mind but I am
- AC: You have family stuff, so
- LB: Last week of January
- WR: I like that
- EM: I like that too
  - Gives us time to organize too
- CD: Week of 24th
  - 1/24 at 6pm
- LB: Should be ok
  - Have meeting from 5-6
  - Like tonight I just bugged out
  - 6 is good
  - No go later than 6
  - WR, 1/24 at 6 pm
- AC: Joe does that work for you too
- JL: Good, thank you
- EM: Works for me
- AC: Mona, you’ll be retired but you like join us
- MK: I can always join the meeting
  - Good on any date
- EM: So true, Mona
- AC: Congratulations, Mona
- LB: Congratulations, Mona
- MK: Thank you
- LB: Walter wants Keani on here
  - I don’t have objections
  - Want to ask Joe and Elroy if they have objections
  - Joe had a suggestion that maybe or maybe not urgent right now
  - I’m open to having Commissioner Helm and Keani join this KBWG
- EM: Commissioner Helm and Keani fine with me
- JL: I feel good with them two
  - They pivotal to a lot of what’s going on
I brought up one of the other ‘Ohana members I wanted to bring Monica Lee Loy Morris at the last meeting
  ■ So we can both participate in case need coverage
• LB: Walter asking if she’s a homesteader or beneficiary
• JL: Yes, she is
• WR: Ok
• LR: Ok, we’re amenable
  o Everybody need one right and left hand help
• NM: Lori needs to clone herself
• BK: For clarity, anyone need to do outreach
  o Nancy’s been sending out emails
  o Any individual outreach need to happen
• NM: I have everyone’s email you mentioned
  o Joe, I don’t think I have Monica’s
• JL: I gave it to you but I can resend
• AC: Have to confirm Halealoha for 1/24
• NM: Ok
• EM: He not always in the State of Hawai‘i that’s why
• BK: This meeting will be to figure out who else to invite to a larger meeting
  o How to do the outreach
  o Anything else you want to talk about
  o DHHL team has been working in the interim to get responses about the lease
  o Feedback on PA
  ■ That can wait for larger group
  o Curious what you want to cover on 1/24
• AC: I’d like to run a draft agenda for the larger group meetings by this group to see if we’re on the right track and same wavelength of what we should be talking about with the larger group
• NM: Folks still interested in...
  o We’ve been trying to get up to date numbers on what NPS anticipates in spending to do operations, maintenance, special projects, etc.
  o Folks still interested in that kind of information or are we going in another direction now
• AC: Think can still provide information because it was information requested from previous meetings that we said we’d follow up on
  o Can send in email and if folks can consume in the interim
  o If they have any questions we can come back to those next month
• NM: Might take us ‘til the 24th to get it
  o Not making any promises about the end of the year, but we’re working on it
• LB: Thank you we appreciate any information
• CD: I echo your same Andrew
• AC: You sure
• CD: 100%
• AC: Ok
WR: Just got back on

LB: Walter’s back on
  ○ On the agenda, the 106 parking lot issues going come up in short term
  ○ PA going come up in the short term
  ○ Everything else on this agenda going come up in the short term

CD: Think we’ll end up trying to tackle some of those by knowing what we think is important in Kalaupapa in the long run
  ○ Prioritizing those things
  ○ Figuring out how do we acquire some of those priorities in the short term

LB: Sounds good CD
  ○ For staff, Hui Ho’o Pakele ‘Āina when we first started the visioning and EA process they had visioning in there as an organization about the North Shore Moloka’i so we might revisit that when we restart our beneficiary consultation
    ■ Just to give people a vision

NM: There’s also Moloka’i… what was the name of the document everyone put together

LB: Sustainable Moloka’i
  ○ That’s the plan Walter keep in his pocket and give out to Kamehameha Schools and everybody when he talking
    ■ The vision documents

WR: Moloka’i Future of a Hawaiian Island

LB: Thank you
  ○ I’ll take these thoughts
  ○ Identify areas of discussion, broader community
  ○ Will put into short report for you guys for next meeting

AC: Mahalo LB for your time

LB: I gotta quit something darnit
  ○ That’s alright, we going call our right and left hands for help us
  ○ I’m done it’s 8:11

AC: Anyone else get anything else right now
  ○ Ok then, I guess we’ll see you in the new year
  ○ Happy holidays

LB: Nancy, I need to share one picture
  ○ Santa Claus sent me something for all you guys
  ○ I’m going to email you right now
  ○ It’s pretty relevant
  ○ Sorry, it’s gone
  ○ Santa took away your gift

NM: If you find it later, send it to us

LB: Was a picture of somebody in Kalaupapa

Chat

- 18:28:36 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Criminal and emergency
- 18:33:36 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: in the state not only Molokai
18:34:20 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Can already see a handful of scenarios emerging
18:38:43 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: So is there a "list" of kuleana
18:40:29 From Joseph Lapilio to Everyone: So the group is more ministerial. Are there conversations taking place on the jurisdictional and political issues?
18:42:20 From Joseph Lapilio to Everyone: Related to Lori's notes - will community members be involved?
19:01:40 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: And that is what I shared at the first meeting on Sept. 8, 2021
19:02:24 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: DLNR too!
19:02:32 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Ceded lands
19:02:39 From Nancy McPherson to Everyone: Bring the map back up?
19:05:08 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Spot on with Walter
19:05:26 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Just fyi the map has errors
19:06:16 From brent to Everyone: Aunty Lori -- can you chat in the errors you see?
19:06:27 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: next steps petition for ceded lands come back to Hawaiians
19:07:17 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Meyers not myers
19:15:53 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: good perspective
19:16:09 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: No matter win win
19:16:30 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: I will support that
19:19:38 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: I like Cedric's short and long term and can support Elroy's concerns
19:22:36 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: OHA, NHLC, Earth Justice too.....when we get there and Yes what Cedric is saying
19:24:10 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Walter, we should initiate and so come from us first
19:24:20 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Beneficiary led
19:24:58 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Then the dept support beneficiary
19:26:58 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Yes Joe and Ka Ohana has to deal with DLNR and NPS has been stalling the memorial too long so I understand your position
19:32:37 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: I can initiate, after the New Year, to organize a group of beneficiaries to kuka kuka Kalaupapa oversite
19:33:41 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Walter can you ask Kaui for help on history please
19:34:00 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: Nancy....talking hui kala
19:35:33 From Nancy McPherson to Everyone: Kauai Manera?
19:35:52 From Lori Buchanan to Everyone: no.....Kauai Sai
19:54:41 From Nancy McPherson to Everyone: I can't find that list of names - I've searched all my files.
19:55:49 From Nancy McPherson to Everyone: Also ‘Aha Kiole?
19:56:18 From Nancy McPherson to Everyone: And 'Ohana from Kainalu?